C How could the Holocaust happen?

The photographs and statistics on pages 340–3 convey some of the horror. Here we concentrate on sources that help us to understand the attitudes of those involved, whether top Nazis, minor civil servants or mere bystanders.

FOCUS ROUTE

1. Read Sources 18.22–34. List the main reasons given in the sources why people were prepared to participate in and tolerate killing Jews.
2. Which three sources do you regard as the most valuable and which three the least valuable as evidence of why the Holocaust happened? Refer to both the origins and the content of the sources.

■ 18B Who was responsible for the Holocaust?


In the summer of 1941, I cannot remember the exact date... Himmler received me and said in effect: 'The Führer has ordered that the Jewish question be solved for once and for all... The Jews are the sworn enemies of the German people and must be eradicated. Every Jew that we can lay our hands on is to be destroyed now during the war, without exception. If we cannot obliterate the biological basis of Jewry, the Jews will one day destroy the German people.'

SOURCE 18.26 Rudolf Hoess's 1946 Nuremberg testimony

It was something already taken for granted that the Jews were to blame for everything... It was not just newspapers like the Stürmer but it was everything we ever heard. Even our military and ideological training took for granted that we had to protect Germany from the Jews... We were all so trained to obey orders without even thinking that the thought of disobeying an order would simply never have occurred to anybody and somebody else would have done just as well if I hadn't... I really never gave much thought to whether it was wrong. It just seemed a necessity.

SOURCE 18.29 An extract from a civil servant's memorandum suggesting improvements to special vehicles at Chelmno death camp, June 1942

The van's load is usually nine per square yard. In Saurer vehicles... maximum use of space is impossible, not because of any possible overload, but because loading to full capacity would affect the vehicle's stability. So reduction of the load space seems necessary. It must absolutely be reduced by a yard, instead of trying to solve the problem, as hitherto, by reducing the number of pieces loaded. Besides, this extends the operating time, as the empty void must also be filled with carbon monoxide. On the other hand, if the load space is reduced, and the vehicle is packed solid, the operating time can be considerably shortened. The manufacturers told us during a discussion that reducing the size of the van's rear would throw it badly off balance. The front axle, they claim, would be overloaded. In fact, the balance is automatically restored, because the merchandise aboard displays during the operation a natural tendency to rush to the rear doors, and is mainly found lying there at the end of the operation. So the front axle is not overloaded.

... Lights could be eliminated, since they apparently are never used. However, it has been observed that, when the doors are shut, the load always presses hard against them as soon as

SOURCE 18.32 Policeman (testifying in 1961)

I believed the propaganda that all Jews were criminals and subhumans and that they were the cause of Germany's decline after the First World War. The thought that one should disobey or evade the order to participate in the extermination of the Jews did not therefore enter my mind at all.

SOURCE 18.33 Soldiers' letters, 1941–2

A Only when you can see what the Jew has brought about here in Russia, can you really understand why the Führer began the struggle against Jewry. What sort of suffering would not have fallen upon our fatherland if this beast of mankind had retained the upper hand?

SOURCE 18.34 B There can be no greater and holier task than the ceaseless destruction of Jewry.

C We are fighting this war today for the very existence of our Volk... Because this war in our view is a Jewish war, the Jews are primarily bearing the brunt of it.
SOURCE 18.23  International Military Tribunal, Nuremberg, document D-73: notes of a discussion between Hitler and Miklos Horthy, the Hungarian leader, April 1943, recorded by Hitler’s interpreter; cited in M. Gilbert, The Holocaust, 1986, p. 556

Where the Jews were left to themselves, as for instance in Poland, the most terrible misery and decay prevailed. They are just pure parasites. In Poland this state of affairs had been fundamentally cleared up. If the Jews there did not want to work, they were shot. If they could not work, they had to succumb. They had to be treated like tuberculosis bacilli, with which a healthy body may become infected. This was not cruel, if one remembered that even innocent creatures of nature, such as hares and deer, have to be killed, so that no harm is caused by them. Why should the beasts who wanted to bring us Bolshevism be spared more?

SOURCE 18.24  Himmler to SS officers, Posen, October 1943

We must be honest, decent, loyal and comradely to members of our own blood, but to nobody else …

Among ourselves it should be mentioned quite frankly but we will never speak of it publicly … I mean cleaning out the Jews, the extermination of the Jewish race … Most of you must know what it means when a hundred corpses are lying side by side, or five hundred or five thousand. To have stuck it out and at the same time (apart from exceptions caused by human weakness) to have remained decent fellows, that is what has made us hard. This is a page of glory in our history which has never been written and will never be written.

SOURCE 18.27  Field Marshal Walther von Reichenau addressing his soldiers, October 1941

In the eastern sphere the soldier is not simply a fighter according to the rules of war, but the supporter of a ruthless racial ideology and the avenger of all the bestialities which have been inflicted on the German nation …

Soldiers must show full understanding for the necessity for the severe but just atonement being required of the Jewish subhumans.

SOURCE 18.28  Church leaders

A [A Protestant theologian and member of the Confessional Church]

We do not like the Jews as a rule: it is therefore not easy for us to apply to them as well the general love of humankind.

B [Pastor Otto Dibelius]

In all the corrosive manifestations of modern civilisation, Jews play a leading role.

SOURCE 18.29  A civil servant

A rapid dying out of the Jews is for us a matter of total indifference, if not to say desirable.

SOURCE 18.30  Head of Reich Railways Department 33, responsible for ‘special’ trains. Interview for the film Shoah by Claude Lanzmann, 1985

I was strictly a bureaucrat … It was said that people were being sent to concentration camps and that those in poor health probably wouldn’t survive … the extermination. Everyone condemns it … But as for knowing about it, we didn’t.

SOURCE 18.31  Head of Reich Railways Department 33, responsible for ‘special’ trains. Interview for the film Shoah by Claude Lanzmann, 1985

I was strictly a bureaucrat … It was said that people were being sent to concentration camps and that those in poor health probably wouldn’t survive … the extermination. Everyone condemns it … But as for knowing about it, we didn’t.

SOURCE 18.34  Comments by German civilians from SD reports

A It is hoped now the last Jews will soon leave the German fatherland.

B We have to thank Hitler for freeing us from this pest.

C Why should I care about the Jews?
What was Hitler’s involvement in the Holocaust?
Hitler’s comments on Jews

SOURCE 18.35 Letter from Hitler to his military superior Adolf Gemlich in 1919

Rational anti-semitism . . . must pursue a systematic, legal campaign against the Jews . . . But the final objective must be the complete removal [Entfernung] of the Jews.

SOURCE 18.36 Remarks made by Hitler in 1922

As soon as I have the power, I shall have gallows after gallows erected, for example in Munich on the Marienplatz . . . Then the Jews will be hanged one after another, and they will stay hanging until they stink. They will stay hanging as long as hygienically possible. As soon as they are united, then the next groups will follow and that will continue until the last Jew in Munich is exterminated. Exactly the same procedure will be followed in other cities until Germany is cleansed of the last Jew.

SOURCE 18.37 From a speech Hitler made to the Reichstag in January 1939

If the international Jewish financiers outside Europe should succeed in plunging the nations once more into war, then the result will not be the Bolshevisation of the earth, and thus the victory of Jewry, but the annihilation of the Jewish race in Europe.

SOURCE 18.38 Extract from Himmler’s recently discovered appointment diary

18th December 1941. Meeting with Hitler on the Jewish Question. [Himmler wrote in margin:] to be exterminated as partisans.

SOURCE 18.39 Hitler’s New Year message, 1942

The Jew will not exterminate the peoples of Europe; he will be the victim of his own machinations [plots] instead.

All students know that Hitler was anti-semitic and how central this was to his whole outlook on life. However, whether he really intended to kill the Jews is less clear-cut. This is partly because his long monologue (self-obsessed rant), Mein Kampf, is a very indigestible read, from which it is hard to extract clear, brief quotations. There is also the problem of language and translation. Hitler frequently referred to the ‘removal’ and ‘eradication’ of Jews and their influence, which could mean resettling them elsewhere, weakening their position or mass killing. When the mass killing of Jews started in 1941 it was deliberately masked behind the language of euphemism (that is, the use of a neutral expression to describe something offensive), such as ‘resettlement’, ‘special handling’ and ‘final solution’.

A further issue is Hitler’s direct role in ordering the Holocaust. No document signed by Hitler ordering that Jews be killed has been found. Although superficially perplexing, this is really not so surprising. Firstly, Hitler did not act in a neat, bureaucratic way. Many of his instructions were purely oral. Secondly, the Nazis tried to keep the Holocaust secret, and from 1944 deliberately destroyed much evidence of it. However, numerous high-ranking Nazis said that Hitler knew about the murders, and several claimed that he authorised them. Furthermore, the whole state was geared to enact the Führer’s will, so it is inconceivable that Hitler did not approve of the Holocaust and it is highly probable that he ordered it.
How might the nature of the Nazi state have contributed to the Holocaust?

Some historians see the Holocaust as developing in response to circumstances and as a result of the way the Nazi regime operated. The lack of formal restraints and the institutional social Darwinism with rivals vying to work towards the Führer led to a process of cumulative radicalisation, such that the sporadic murder of 'undesirables' could develop into a system of extermination factories. In such a state, given the lack of formal restraints, euthanasia could easily lead to mass murder. Certainly, the experience gained from the euthanasia programme, in terms of personnel and techniques, was later used in the Holocaust. It is also argued that the totalitarian nature of the state, with the incessant anti-Semitic propaganda, the stress on blind obedience and the exercise of arbitrary repression, contributed to the Holocaust. However, there is no evidence of harsh punitive action against those Germans who did refuse to participate.

Source 18.40 is from the official record of a meeting of top Nazis following Kristallnacht (10 November 1938). These vicious anti-Semitic riots had been partly organised by the authorities. Heydrich had instructed local police to discuss them with the Security Police and to arrest as many Jews as their prisons could contain. This escalation of anti-Semitic violence led to further measures, as revealed in Source 18.40 below.

**SOURCE 18.40** Stenographic (taken down in shorthand) report of the meeting on the Jewish question, chaired by Goering, on 12 November 1938

**Goering: Gentlemen!** Today's meeting is of a decisive nature. I have received a letter written on the Führer's orders, requesting that the Jewish question be now, once and for all, co-ordinated and solved one way or another. And yesterday once again did the Führer request by phone for me to take co-ordinated action in the matter.

Since the problem is mainly an economic one, it is from the economic angle that it shall have to be tackled. Naturally a number of legal measures shall have to be taken which fall into the sphere of the Minister for Justice and into that of the Minister of the Interior; and certain propaganda measures shall be taken care of by the Minister for Propaganda. The Minister for Finance and the Minister for Economic Affairs shall take care of problems falling in their respective areas.

The meeting, in which we first talked about this question and came to the decision to arytnise the German economy, to take the Jew out of it and put him into our debit ledger, was one in which, to our shame, we only made pretty plans, which were executed very slowly. We then had a demonstration, right here in Berlin, we told the people that something decisive would be done, but again nothing happened. We have had this affair in Paris now [the assassination of a German diplomat by a Jew], more demonstrations followed and this time something decisive must be done!

Because, gentlemen, I have had enough of these demonstrations! They don't harm the Jew but me, who is the last authority for co-ordinating the German economy...

If today, a Jewish shop is destroyed, if goods are thrown into the street, the insurance company will pay for the damages, which the Jew does not even have; and furthermore the consumer goods belonging to the people are destroyed. If in the future, demonstrations which are necessary occur, then, I pray that they be directed, so as not to hurt us...

I should not want to leave any doubt, gentlemen, as to the aim of today's meeting. We have not come together merely to talk again, but to make decisions, and I implore the competent agencies to take all measures for the elimination of the Jew from the German economy and to submit them to me...
The trustee of the State will estimate the value of the property and decide what amount the Jew shall receive. Naturally, this amount is to be set as low as possible. The representative of the State shall then turn the establishment over to the Aryan proprietor; that is, the property shall be sold according to its real value.

Goebbels: In almost all German cities synagogues are burned... I am of the opinion that this is our chance to dissolve the synagogues. All those not completely intact shall be razed by the Jews. The Jews shall pay for it... We shall build parking lots in their place or new buildings...

I deem it necessary to issue a decree forbidding the Jews to enter German theatres, movie houses, and circuses...

Furthermore, I advocate that Jews be eliminated from all positions in public life in which they may prove to be provocative. It is still possible today that a Jew shares a compartment in a sleeping car with a German. Therefore, we need a decree by the Reich Ministry for Communications stating that separate compartments for Jews shall be available— in cases where compartments are filled up, Jews cannot claim a seat. They shall be given a separate compartment only after all Germans have secured seats. They shall not mix with Germans, and if there is no more room, they shall have to stand in the corridor.

Goering: In that case, I think it would make more sense to give them separate compartments.

Goebbels: Not if the train is overcrowded.

Goering: Just a moment. There'll be only one Jewish coach. If that is filled up, the other Jews will have to stay at home.

Goebbels: Suppose, though, there won't be many Jews going on the express train to Munich; suppose there would be two Jews in the train and the other compartments would be overcrowded. These two Jews would then have a compartment all to themselves. Therefore, Jews may claim a seat only after all Germans have claimed a seat.

Goering: I'd give the Jews one coach or one compartment. And should a case like you mention arise and the train be overcrowded, believe me, we won't need a law. We'll kick him out and he'll have to sit alone in the toilet all the way!

Goebbels: I don't agree. I don't believe in this. There ought to be a law. Furthermore, there ought to be a decree barring Jews from German beaches and resorts. [Goebbels then refers to excluding Jews from German forests; then parks.] Furthermore, Jewish children are still allowed in German schools. That's impossible. It is out of the question that any boy should sit beside a Jewish boy in a German high school and receive lessons in German history.

Goering: Of course I too am of the opinion that these economic measures ought to be strengthened by a number of police-action propaganda-measures and cultural displays so that everything shall be fixed now and the Jewry will be slapped this week right and left.

Heydrich: In spite of the elimination of the Jew from the economic life, the main problem, namely to kick the Jew out of Germany, remains. May I make a few proposals to that effect? Following a suggestion by the Commissioner of the Reich, we have set up a centre for the Emigration of Jews in Vienna, and that way we have eliminated 50,000 Jews from Austria while from the Reich only 19,000 Jews were eliminated during the same period.

**ACTIVITY**

1. What does Source 18.40 illustrate about the way the Third Reich operated? Refer to Hitler's role and the way decisions were taken.

2. Some historians argue that the Holocaust developed in a haphazard manner and not primarily as the result of a clear master plan by Hitler. How much evidence does this source provide to substantiate this view?
How far were the German people responsible for the Holocaust?

Most historians have tried to distinguish between Nazi fanatics who ordered and carried out the Holocaust and the mass of Germans who were uninvolved and arguably unaware of what was happening. This view has been challenged, most recently by Daniel Goldhagen, a professor of history at Harvard. His Jewish father, also a history professor, was taken by the Nazis for execution but managed to survive. Goldhagen has made a name for himself with his book *Hitler's Willing Executioners: Ordinary Germans and the Holocaust* (1996) which has aroused fierce controversy. He has not primarily based his study on new sources, but has studied again those used by an earlier research historian Christopher Browning and come up with very different views.

Goldhagen claims ordinary Germans were Hitler’s willing executioners. The sources he has studied of the police who followed in the wake of the armies in eastern Europe reveal how thousands of ordinary Germans (most were not Nazi Party members) willingly participated and even revelled in brutality and mass murder. At least 100,000 ordinary Germans were involved in the Holocaust, and all Germans were potentially involved. Such involvement was not conceivable in any other country. Germans were morally responsible for the Holocaust. Goldhagen’s view has been well summarised by Richard Evans in Source 18.41.

An alternative view is that most Germans were unaware of the full horrors of the Holocaust which the regime tried to keep secret. Although rumours existed, most Germans turned a deaf ear to them. Only a small number were actively involved, and many of these were Ukrainians and other non-Germans.

Other views accept that knowledge of anti-Jewish atrocities was widespread; it was a secret that could not be kept. However, for a variety of different and complex reasons most Germans did not act to prevent the horrors. Widespread anti-semitism played a part here, strengthened by Nazi propaganda, but this was not the same as most Germans being ‘eliminationists’.

The criticisms made of Goldhagen illustrate much about the nature of the historical process. He has been criticised for:

- selective use of evidence: ignoring aspects that do not fit in with his thesis
- sweeping generalisations
- giving a simple answer to a complex question
- his narrow focus; his exaggeration of anti-semitism in Germany and the underplaying of it in other countries
- his subjective approach, as the son of a Jew who survived the camps
- appealing to the emotions by detailing the horrors, to the neglect of critical assessment
- his motivation: he is a careerist out to make a name/money for himself (which has certainly happened for his book became a bestseller)
- the effects of his views, encouraging hatred of Germans (thus fostering racism)
- undermining, by displaying the Holocaust as unique, the attempt to learn lessons from the Holocaust, and to understand similar horrors, and how to prevent them.

Thus he has been criticised for his methods, his motivation, and the possible effects of his work.

---

**Source Questions**

1. How is it that historians looking at the same primary sources can develop contrasting historical explanations?
2. Should the possible effects of historical analysis be used to argue against its validity?
3. In the 1990s there was mass slaughter in Rwanda, Bosnia and Kosovo, and more revelations emerged about the ‘Killing Fields’ in Pol Pot’s Cambodia in the 1970s. Can such contemporary events help us to understand how the Holocaust could happen?
Why did the German people allow the Holocaust to happen?

A variety of claims have been put forward, ranging from evidence of mass ignorance to mass willing participation. Points made include:

- They were unable to prevent it
  Nazi Germany was a terror state.

- They accepted it
  Many Germans were
  - conformist
  - apathetic
  - influenced by propaganda
  - psychologically prepared
  - influenced by social Darwinist views
  - anti-semitic
  - unconcerned about minority groups
  - brutalised by war, believing 'life is cheap'
  - convinced of the need to prevent Jewish atrocities against themselves and saw
  - the Jewish problem in biological not human terms
  - life as a cruel struggle.
  The Holocaust was
  - the culmination of the gradual dehumanising impact of the regime
  - a logical development from euthanasia of the mentally ill
  - something that Germans were gradually sucked into.

- They were unaware of it
  The Nazis tried to keep it secret.
  The extermination camps were far away in the east.
  The Nazis used the language of euphemism, e.g. 'special handling', 'final solution'.
  Germans perhaps
  - did not have sufficient evidence of what was going on
  - rejected wild, unbelievable rumours
  - had information, but did not understand or internalise it
  - closed their ears; they were skilled at knowing how not to know
  - deliberately did not find out in order to reduce their responsibility
  - tried to live a normal life by dissociating themselves from it
  - retreated from reality.

ACTIVITY

Debate: 'Were ordinary Germans violently anti-semitic and Hitler's willing executioners?'

The following points have been made in the 'Goldhagen debate'. Arrange them in two lists: those which he might use and those points which could be used by his critics.

a) Eliminationist anti-semitism had deep roots in Germany.
b) At least 100,000 ordinary Germans were involved in the Holocaust.
c) There was considerable opposition to Nazism.
d) Anti-semitism was not an important reason why millions of Germans voted for Hitler in Weimar elections.
e) There is much evidence that many of those involved in killing Jews enjoyed it.
f) No one was executed for refusing to participate in the killings.
g) There were liberal attitudes to Jews in Weimar Germany.
h) Many of those involved in committing atrocities were deeply disturbed by the experience.
i) Germans were under pressure, and had been subjected to propaganda.

j) Other countries, not just Germany, committed anti-semitic atrocities.
k) Jews were involved in operating the Holocaust.
l) Other groups were also systematically killed, e.g. gypsies.

Using these points and others, debate the Goldhagen view.